

**BEFORE THE UTTARAKHAND PUBLIC SERVICES TRIBUNAL
AT DEHRADUN**

Present: Hon'ble Mr. Justice U.C.Dhyani
----- Chairman

Hon'ble Mr. D.K.Kotia
-----Vice Chairman (A)

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. C-03/DB/2018

Ramendra Singh, aged about 64 years s/o Late Sri Kartar Singh, r/o 219-B,
Lunia Mohalla, Dehradun.

.....Petitioner.

VS.

Shri Sandeep Kumar Srivastav, Additional Inspector General, Ring Road,
Dehradun..

.....Respondent.

Present: Smt. Anupama Gautam &
Sri A.S.Bisht, Counsel, for the petitioner.
Sri U.C.Dhaundiyal, A.P.O.
for the Respondents.

JUDGMENT

DATED: MAY 25, 2018

Justice U.C.Dhyani (Oral)

By means of present contempt petition, the petitioner/
applicant seeks to punish the respondent/ contemnor for willful
disobedience of the judgment and order dated 05.03.2018, passed by
this Tribunal in claim petition No. 53/DB/17 Ramendra Singh vs. State
and others.

2. The order sought to be executed is being reproduced herein
below for convenience:

“The claim petition is, accordingly, disposed of by
directing Respondent No.2 to decide the

representation of the petitioner at an earliest possible but not later than four weeks of presentation of certified copy of this order along with a copy of representation, by a reasoned and speaking order, as per law.”

3. Petitioner, who is present in person, submitted that despite service of order dated 05.03.2018 (along with his representation), upon the respondent, his representation has not been decided so far.
4. It is the submission of learned counsel for the petitioner that the casual approach on the part of respondent should not be tolerated and strict action should be initiated against the alleged contemnor.
5. One of the objectives of contempt jurisdiction is the enforcement and compliance of the order of the Court.
6. This Tribunal, therefore, instead of issuing notice to the respondent, reiterates the order dated 05.03.2018, passed by this Tribunal, and directs the authority concerned to comply with the same within three weeks (from today), failing which, the contemnor may be liable to face appropriate action under the Contempt of Courts Act.
7. Petitioner is directed to place a copy of this order, as also the order dated 05.03.2018 before the authority concerned by 29.05.2018, to remind that a duty is cast upon said authority to do something, which has not been done.
8. The contempt petition is disposed of accordingly.
9. Let a copy of this order be supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioner today itself on payment of usual charges.

(D.K.KOTIA)
VICE CHAIRMAN (A)

(JUSTICE U.C.DHYANI)
CHAIRMAN

DATE: MAY 25, 2018
DEHRADUN

VM