

**BEFORE THE UTTARAKHAND PUBLIC SERVICES TRIBUNAL  
AT DEHRADUN**

**CLAIM PETITION NO. 27/ SB/2025**

Sri Yashwant Lal, aged about 63 years, s/o Sri Kiran Das, r/o  
Village& Post Office Dodil Sunilward Joshimath, District Chamoli.

.....Petitioner

versus

1. State of Uttarakhand through Secretary, School Education,  
Government, Dehradun.
2. Director, Primary Education, Dehradun.
3. Chief Education Officer, Gopeshwar, Chamoli.
4. Principal, Government Inter College, Joshimath Chamoli.
5. Finance Officer, Secondary Education, Chamoli.

..... Respondents

Present: Sri Hem Chandra Joshi, Advocate, for the Petitioner  
Sri V.P. Devrani, A.P.O., for the Respondents

**JUDGEMENT**

**Dated: 19<sup>th</sup> February, 2025**

**Justice U.C. Dhyani (Oral)**

By means of present petition, the petitioner seeks  
following reliefs:

“(i) To declare impugned order dated 29.08.2024 & 18.09.2024  
(Annexure-1) passed by respondent authorities is arbitrary, illegal  
and thus liable to be quashed after calling entire records from the  
respondents, along with its effect and operation also or to mould  
the relief appropriately keeping in view facts highlighted in the  
body of the petition.

(ii) To direct the respondent to re-fix the pension and pay him  
the entire service benefits as well as retiral benefits including  
Pension, GPF, etc to the petitioner for which he is entitled.

(iii) Issue any other order, rule or direction which this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

(iv) Award cost of the petition.

2. Petitioner has filed affidavit in support of his claim petition. Relevant documents have been filed with the claim petition.

3. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has given several representations including the last one on 05.09.2024 (Annexure no. 6) for revised pay fixation in the service book i.e. annual salary increase, basic pay and ACP etc. as per Govt. Orders to respondent authority, which may kindly be directed to be decided by such authority as early as possible, as per law.

4. In reply, learned A.P.O. submitted that he has no objection to such innocuous prayer of the petitioner.

5. Without prejudice to rival contentions, the claim petition is disposed of, at the admission stage, with the consent of learned Counsel for the parties, by directing the respondents to decide pending representation of the petitioner by a reasoned and speaking order, after affording an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner, in accordance with law, at an earliest possible, preferably within 12 weeks of presentation of certified copy of this order along with copy of representation. No order as to costs.

**(Justice U.C. Dhyani)**  
Chairman

*DATE: 19<sup>th</sup> February, 2025*  
*DEHRADUN*  
*RS*