## BEFORE THE UTTARAKHAND PUBLIC SERVICES TRIUBUNAL BENCH AT NAINITAL

	Hon'ble Mr. Rajendra Singh	Present:
Vice Chairman (J)		
	Hon'ble Mr. A. S. Rawat	
Vice Chairman (A)		

## **CLAIM PETITION NO. 158/NB/DB/2023**

Tevendra Prasad, s/o Late Sri Khushi Ram, aged about 43 years, r/o Chalma Chilasu, Pangu, Chhalamachhilason, Pithoragarh, Uttarakhand, presently working as Chief Assistant, Deputy Block Education Officer, Kapkot, District Bageshwar.

..... Petitioner

## **Versus**

- State of Uttarakhand through Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Uttarakhand, Dehradun.
- 2. Director of Elementary Education, Dehradun, Uttarakhand.
- 3. Chief Education Officer, District Nainital.
- 4. Block Education Officer, Kotabagh, District Nainital.
- 5. Principal, Government Intermediate College, Belparav, District Nainital.

..... Respondents

Present: Sri Gaurav Kandpal, in brief of Ms. Priyanka Agarwal, Advocate for the petitioner Sri Kishore Kumar, A.P.O. for the respondents

## **JUDGMENT**

**DATED: MARCH 10, 2025** 

This claim petition has been filed by the petitioner for following reliefs:

- A) To expunge/set aside the order dated 19.08.2023 for the adverse entry in the name of the petitioner for the review year 2023-24 and also the adverse entries for the review year 2018-19 & review 2019-20 (Annexure No.1 Colly).
- B) To issue any other suitable order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

- C) To issue any other order or direction which this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.
- D) Award cost of the petition.
- 2. During Course of arguments, learned A.P.O. has raised preliminary objection that claim petition is premature, as the petitioner has an alternative remedy to file appeal against the impugned order to the appellate authority under Rule 11(1) of the Uttarakhand Government Servant (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 2003. He further submitted that Section 4(5) of the Public Services Tribunal Act provides that no reference shall ordinarily be entertained by the Tribunal until all departmental remedies (under the rules applicable to the petitioner) are exhausted.
- 3. Learned Counsel for the petitioner confined his relief to the extent of seeking liberty to appeal to the next higher authority, as per rules and also to condone the delay in filing the appeal.
- 4. It would be appropriate to quote Rule 11 of the Uttarakhand Govt. Servant (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 2003, as under:
  - Appeal- "11. (1) Except the orders passed under these rules by the Governor, the Government Servant shall be entitled to appeal to the next higher authority from an order passed by the Disciplinary Authority.
  - (2) The appeal shall be addressed and submitted to the Appellate Authority. A Government Servant Preferring an appeal shall do so in his own name. The appeal shall contain all material statements and arguments relied upon by the appellant.
  - (3) The appeal shall not contain any intemperate language. Any appeal, which contains such language may be liable to be summarily dismissed. (4) The appeal shall be preferred within 90 days from the date of communication of impugned order. An appeal preferred after the said period shall be dismissed summarily.
- 5. It is provided under Rule 11(1) of the 'Discipline and Appeal Rules' that a government servant is entitled to 'Appeal' against any punishment order to the next higher authority.

- 6. It would be, therefore, justified that the controversy in question is first considered and decided by the Departmental Appellate Authority, in the interest of justice.
- 7. In view of above, we allow the petitioner to avail the remedy of 'Appeal' under Rule 11 (1) of the Uttarakhand Government Servant (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 2003 as amended from time to time. The petitioner shall file the 'Appeal' before the Appellate Authority within two weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order and the Appellate Authority, after receiving it, will decide the Appeal in accordance with law and rules, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible, without unreasonable delay. The delay, if any, in filing the appeal is condoned, in the interest of justice.
- 8. The petition is disposed of accordingly. No order as to costs.

A.S.RAWAT VICE CHARMAN (A)

**RAJENDRA SINGH** VICE CHARMAN (J)

DATED: MARCH 10, 2025 NAINITAL

KNP