

**BEFORE THE UTTARAKHAND PUBLIC SERVICES TRIBUNAL  
AT DEHRADUN**

**CLAIM PETITION NO. 08/SB/2025**

Binder Kumar, s/o Sri Rajpal Singh, r/o Village- Miragpur, Tehsil  
Deoband, Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh.

**.....Petitioner**

**vs.**

1. The Secretary (Peyjal) Government of Uttarakhand, Secretariat, Subhash Road, Dehradun.
2. The Chief General Manager, Uttarakhand Jal Sansthan, Jal Bhawan, B-Block, Nehru Colony, Dehradun.
3. The Superintending Engineer, Uttarakhand Jal Sansthan, 58 Rishikesh Road, Bhoopatwala, Haridwar, Uttarakhand..
4. The Executive Engineer, Uttarakhand Jal Sansthan, Rural Engineering Service Department, Haridwar Uttarakhand.
5. The Executive Engineer, Uttarakhand Jal Sansthan, New Tehri.

**.....Respondents**

Present: Sri Uttam Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.  
Sri V.P. Devrani, A.P.O. for State Respondent No.1.  
Sri Deepak Singh, Advocate for Respondents No. 2 to 5. (online)

**JUDGMENT**

**DATED: JANUARY 16, 2025**

**Justice U.C.Dhyani (Oral)**

By means of present claim petition, petitioner seeks the following reliefs:

*“(i) Direct the respondent to remit/ pay the amount held in GPF Account.*

*“(ii) Direct the respondent to pay the interest @ 12% as the same is deliberate action from the date of dismissal.*

*(iii) Award the cost of the petition to the petitioner.”*

2. The claim petition is supported by the affidavit of the petitioner. Relevant documents have been filed along with the same.

3. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner drew attention of the Bench towards Para 3 of order dated 22.10.2024, issued by the second Respondent, to submit that even after the writ petition filed by the Uttarakhand Jal Sansthan against the order dated 01.08.2023 passed by the Tribunal in Claim Petition No. 99/SB/2023, the writ petition being WPSB No. 644/2024, has been dismissed by the Hon'ble Court on 12.12.2024, the respondents are not releasing GPF in favour of the petitioner.

4. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner will make a representation to Respondent No.2, who should be directed to decided his representation as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law. Ld. A.P.O., representing Respondent No.1 and Sri Deepak Singh, Ld. Counsel for Uttarakhand Jal Sansthan, have no objection to such innocuous prayer of the petitioner.

5. The claim petition is disposed of, with the consent of Ld. counsel for the parties, by directing Respondent No.2, to decide the representation of the petitioner, by a reasoned and speaking order, as per law, as expeditiously as possible and without unreasonable delay on presentation of certified copy of this order along with representation highlighting his grievance, enclosing the documents in support thereof. No order as to costs.

6. Rival contentions are left open.

**(JUSTICE U.C.DHYANI)**  
CHAIRMAN

*DATE: JANUARY 16, 2025.*  
*DEHRADUN*

*VM*