

**BEFORE THE UTTARAKHAND PUBLIC SERVICES TRIBUNAL  
AT DEHRADUN**

**CLAIM PETITION NO. 112/SB/2024**

Laxman Singh Rawat, s/o Sri Vikram Singh Rawat, presently posted as Storekeeper-cum-Clerk Division, Health and Family Welfare Centre, 107- Chander Nagar, Dehradun.

**.....Petitioner**

**vs.**

1. State of Uttarakhand through Secretary Health, Government of Uttarakhand, Dehradun.
2. Director General, Medical, Health and Family Welfare, Uttarakhand, Dehradun.
3. Principal, Divisional Medical, Health and Family Welfare Training Centre, Chander Nagar Dehradun.

**.....Respondents**

Present: Sri Abhishek Chamoli, Advocate, for the petitioner.  
Sri V.P. Devrani, A.P.O. for State Respondents.

**JUDGMENT**

**DATED: SEPTEMBER 26, 2024**

**Justice U.C.Dhyani (Oral)**

By means of present claim petition, petitioner seeks the following reliefs:

*“(i) To pass an order or direction in favour of the petitioner directing respondent to conduct DPC for the Promotion on the vacant Post of Steward and Assistant Superintendent (II) and grant promotion to the petitioner on the post of Steward from 2015, keeping in the view the facts highlighted in the body of the petition and after calling entire record from the respondents.*

*(ii) To issue any other order or direction which this court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of this case in the favour of the petitioner.*

*(iii) To award the cost of the Petition.”*

2. The claim petition is supported by the affidavit of the petitioner. Relevant documents have been filed along with the same.

3. It is the submission of Ld. Counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has filed several representations to the respondents, but there is no response from any of the respondents so far. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner further submitted that the petitioner is entitled to be considered for promotion. Ld. counsel for the petitioner drew attention of the Bench towards Office Memorandum No. 1801/ Personnel-2/2002 Dehradun, 23.06.2003, issued by the Government of Uttarakhand to submit that such Office Memo prescribes the “procedure to be adopted for the selection on the different post in the Services under the State”, therefore, the same should be followed by the respondent department.

4. The prayer of Ld. counsel for the petitioner is that a direction be given to Respondent No.2, to decide the representation of the petitioner as quickly as possible, in accordance with law. Ld. A.P.O. has no objection to such innocuous prayer of the petitioner.

5. The claim petition is disposed of, at the admission stage, with the consent of Ld. counsel for the parties, by directing Respondent No.2, to decide the representation of the petitioner, by a reasoned and speaking order, as per law, as expeditiously as possible, without unreasonable delay, on presentation of certified copy of this order

along with fresh representation enclosing the documents in support thereof. No order as to costs.

6. Rival contentions are left open.

**(JUSTICE U.C.DHYANI)**  
CHAIRMAN

*DATE: SEPTEMBER 26, 2024.*  
*DEHRADUN*

*VM*