

**BEFORE THE UTTARAKHAND PUBLIC SERVICES TRIBUNAL
AT DEHRADUN**

CLAIM PETITION NO. 64/SB/2024

Laxman Singh Rawat, s/o Sri Jodh Singh, r/o Balawala, near Shiv Priya
Wedding Point, Dehradun, Uttarakhand.

.....Petitioner

vs.

1. State of Uttarakhand through its Chief Secretary, Secretariat, Subhash Road Dehradun.
2. Additional Chief Secretary, Govt. of Uttarakhand, (Madhyamik Shiksha Anubhag-nav-srijit), Subhash Road, Dehradun.
3. Director Secondary Education ((Madhyamik Shiksha), Nanoor Kheda, Dehradun.
4. Dy. Director, Secondary Education ((Madhyamik Shiksha), Uttarakhand, Nanoor Kheda, Dehradun.
5. Additional Director Education, Garhwal Mandal, Pauri.
6. Chief Education Officer Rudraprayag, Distt. Rudraprayag.
7. Block Education Officer Jakholi, Distt. Rudraprayag
8. Principal Govt. Inter College Ramashram, (Jakholi) Distt. Rudraprayag.
9. Sh. Narayan Singh Negi, (Head Master) Govt. High School, Sarna Airas, District Chamoli.

.....Respondents

Present: Sri Bagat Singh Rawat, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Sri V.P. Devrani, A.P.O. for official Respondents.

Notice not issued to Respondent No.9.

JUDGMENT**DATED: JULY 22, 2024****Justice U.C.Dhyani (Oral)**

Various reliefs have been sought by the petitioner in this claim petition. Description of those reliefs has been given in Para 8 of the petition.

2. Sri B.S.Rawat, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that a direction be given to Respondent No.1 to decide the representation of the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law.

3. Ld. A.P.O. submitted that the claim petition is not only barred by limitation in view of Section 5 (b)(i) of the Public Services (Tribunal) Act, 1976 (as applicable to Uttarakhand), but also suffers from the vice of plural reliefs in view of Rule 10 of the Uttar Pradesh Public Services (Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1992 (as applicable to State of Uttarakhand). He also submitted that the petitioner is not entitled to the reliefs claimed on merits also.

4. In reply, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has recurring cause of action, one relief is dependent on another and the petitioner has good case on merits.

5. The Tribunal, at this stage, is of the view that innocuous prayer of Ld. Counsel for the petitioner should be accepted.

6. Ld. Counsel for the parties submitted that such an order may be passed by Single Bench of the Tribunal.

7. The claim petition is disposed of, at the admission stage, with the consent of Ld. counsel for the parties, by making a request to Respondent No.1, to decide the representation of the petitioner, by a reasoned and speaking order, as expeditiously as possible, as per law. The petitioner shall move representation before the authority concerned

within four weeks from today, enclosing the documents in support thereof, along with certified copy of this order to enable such authority to decide his representation. No order as to costs.

8. Rival contentions are left open.

(JUSTICE U.C.DHYANI)
CHAIRMAN

DATE: JULY 22, 2024.
DEHRADUN

VM