

**BEFORE THE UTTARAKHAND PUBLIC SERVICES TRIBUNAL
AT DEHRADUN**

**REVIEW APPLICATION NO. 04/ SB/2024
(Arising out of Claim Petition No. 49/SB/2024)**

Dr. Parmila Rani.

.....Review Applicant

versus

State of Uttarakhand and others.

..... Respondents

Present: Sri Prateek Kanojia and Sri Tushar Arora, Advocates,
for the Review Applicant
Sri V.P. Devrani, A.P.O., for the Respondents

JUDGEMENT

Dated: 06th June, 2024

Justice U.C. Dhyani (Oral)

Learned Counsel for the review applicant submitted that there are some factual mistakes in para 2 of the decision dated 04.06.2024, passed in claim petition no. 49/SB/2024, Dr. Parmila Rani vs. State of Uttarakhand and others, therefore, the same requires to be corrected.

2. Such factual mistakes have been pointed out in the affidavit of the petitioner, which has been filed along with the review application.

3. Sri V.P. Devrani, learned A.P.O. has no objection if factual mistakes are corrected by the Tribunal in review of the decision dated 04.06.2024, passed in claim petition no. 49/SB/2024.

4. Errors apparent on the face of record, clerical or arithmetic mistakes can be cured in the review application.

5. Factual mistakes, as pointed out by learned Counsel for the petitioner, need to be cured by the Tribunal while allowing the review application.

6. Paras No. 2 and 7 of the judgement dated 04.06.2024 shall be read as below:

“2. It is the submission of learned Counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner moved several representations to respondent no. 2, including the last one on 06.04.2024, mentioning all the rules governing the seniority and seeking directions from the said authority to decide the seniority of the Lecturers working in GIC, Bulhar, Chakrata Dehradun, on the basis of rules prescribed in Uttarakhand Government Servants Seniority Rules, 2002. **In response to the said representation, the Respondent No. 2 directed the respondent no. 4 to decide the seniority as per Rules, but the respondent no. 4, without following the direction given by respondent no. 2, vaguely stated that the action regarding seniority has been taken.**

7. Without prejudice to the rival contentions, the Claim Petition is disposed of, at the admission stage, with the consent of learned Counsel for the parties, by directing respondent no. 2 to decide **fresh** representation of the petitioner by a reasoned and speaking order, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, without unreasonable delay, on presentation of certified copy of this order along with **fresh** representation enclosing the documents in support thereof. No order as to costs.”

7. The review application thus stands disposed of, as above.

(JUSTICE U.C. DHYANI)
CHAIRMAN

DATE: 06th June, 2024
DEHRADUN
RS