BEFORE THE UTTARAKHAND PUBLIC SERVICES TRIBUNAL BENCH AT NAINITAL

Sri Kishore Kumar, A.P.O. for the respondents

Present: Sri Sunder Bhandari, Advocate, for the petitioner

<u>Judgement</u>

Dated: 19th May, 2023

Justice U.C. Dhyani (Oral)

Hon'ble High Court of Uttarakhand has been pleased to pass an order on 28.09.2022 in WPSS No. 187 of 2022, Mohan Singh vs. State of Uttarakhand and others, which (order) reads as under:

"There is no representation for the petitioner.

Mr. Narain Dutt, Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner is serving as Class-IV employee in Education Department. He is claiming promotion to Group-C post of Junior Assistant.

Since petitioner is a public servant within the meaning of term as defined under the U.P. Public Service (Tribunals) Act, 1976, therefore, this Court is not inclined to entertain this writ petition.

The writ petition is, accordingly, dismissed on the ground of alternate remedy.

Registry is directed to transmit complete record of this case to learned Tribunal, after keeping photocopy thereof on record, to be supplied by learned counsel for the petitioner, in the file."

- 2. The original record of the writ petition has been transferred to this Tribunal *vide* letter no. 15366/UHC/Service Section (S/S) / PST/ Nainital dated 02.11.2022 of the Registrar (Judicial) of the Hon'ble High Court. The same has been registered as claim petition no. 133/NB/DB/2022.
- 3. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner's representation may kindly be directed to be decided by Director, Basic Education, Dehradun and Additional Director, Basic Education, Dehradun, by a reasoned and speaking order in the light of decision rendered by Hon'ble High Court on 04.09.2004 in WPMS No. 658 of 2004, Rajesh Rawat vs. State of Uttaranchal and others, and connected writ petition.
- 4. Learned A.P.O., on the other hand, submitted that certificate obtained by the petitioner from *Hindi Sahitya*

3

Sammelan is not a valid High School certificate, as per various

pronouncements of Hon'ble High Court of Uttarakhand and

other Hon'ble High Courts. Learned A.P.O. has cited decisions

rendered by the Hon'ble High Court of Uttarakhand in WPSS

No. 1913 of 2011, Pooran Ram vs. State of Uttarakhand and

others; Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Patna in Civil Writ

Jurisdiction Case No. 4830 of 2008, Dharmendra Ram and

others vs. State of Bihar and others; and Hon'ble High Court of

Judicature at Allahabad in Writ Case No. 14338 of 2020, Than

Singh vs. Union of India and others, in this regard.

5. This issue can left open to be decided by the

competent authority while deciding the representation of the

petitioner as per law.

6. Without prejudice to rival contentions, the petition is

disposed of by directing Director, Basic Education, Dehradun

and Additional Director, Basic Education, Dehradun, to decide

the representation of the petitioner by a reasoned and speaking

order, in accordance with law, without unreasonable delay,

preferably within eight weeks of presentation of certified copy of

this order.

7. It is made clear that we have not expressed any

opinion on the merits of the case.

(RAJEEV GUPTA)

VICE CHAIRMAN (A)

(JUSTICE U.C.DHYANI) **CHAIRMAN**

DATE: 19th May, 2023

DEHRADUN

RS