

**BEFORE THE UTTARAKHAND PUBLIC SERVICES TRIBUNAL  
BENCH AT NAINITAL**

Present: Hon'ble Mr. Rajendra Singh

-----Vice Chairman (J)

**REVIEW PETITION NO. 02/NB/SB/2023**

In

**CLAIM PETITION NO. 152/NB/SB/2022**

Sipahi Jagmohan Singh Negi

.....Petitioner

**vs.**

State of Uttarakhand through Principal Secretary, Home, Government of Uttarakhand, Secretariat, Subhash Road, Dehradun & others.

.....Respondents

Present: Sri Harish Adhikari, Advocate, for the Review applicant  
Sri Kishore Kumar, A.P.O., for the Respondents

**JUDGMENT**

**DATED: MAY 01, 2023**

This review petition has been filed by the review applicant to review the judgment and order dated 20.04.2023, passed by this Tribunal in Claim Petition No. 152/NB/SB/2022.

2. The review petition has been opposed on behalf of the respondents. It has been stated that the judgment is correct and there is no scope of review, as the scope of review is very limited.

3. The claim petition was decided by this Tribunal vide judgment dated 20.04.2023 after hearing the arguments of both the parties. In its judgment, the Tribunal had considered each and every point on the basis of facts available on record and thereafter, detailed judgment was passed on merits.

4. There is little scope for review applicant in review. Review applicant can succeed only when he is able to show that there is arithmetical or clerical mistake in the judgment sought to be reviewed. Review applicant can also get relief, if it could be shown that there is error apparent on the face of record.

5. I do not see either any clerical mistake or any error apparent on the face of record, in the order sought to be reviewed.

6. By filing present review petition, review applicant seeks to argue the claim petition afresh, which is not permissible in law.

7. Review petition fails and is dismissed.

**(RAJENDRA SINGH)**  
VICE CHAIRMAN (J)

*DATE: MAY 01, 2023*  
*DEHRADUN*  
*KNP*