## BEFORE THE UTTARAKHAND PUBLIC SERVICES TRIBUNAL AT DEHRADUN

## CLAIM PETITION NO. 29/SB/2021

Sanjeev, aged about 35 years, s/o Sri Inder Pal, presently posted as Constable at Kotwali, Sonprayag, District Rudraprayag..

.....Petitioner

VS.

- 1. State of Uttarakhand through Secretary, Home, Govt. of Uttarakhand, Subhash Road, Dehradun.
- 2. Deputy Inspector General of Police, Garhwal Region, Uttarakhand, Dehradun.
- 3. Senior Superintendent of Police, Dehradun.

.....Respondents

Present: Sri Abhishek Chamoli and V.P. Sharma, Advocates,

for the Petitioner

Sri V.P. Devrani, A.P.O. for the Respondents

## **JUDGMENT**

DATED: FEBRUARY 15, 2023

## Justice U.C. Dhyani (Oral)

By means of present claim petition, the petitioner seeks to set aside the impugned order dated 30.04.2020 (Annexure: A1), which has been affirmed by the Appellate Authority *vide* order dated 16.01.2021 (Annexure: A2).

2. Petitioner was awarded 'censure entry' by the disciplinary authority (S.S.P., Dehradun), which has been upheld by DIG, Garhwal Range, in departmental appeal.

- 3. Aggrieved with the same, present claim petition has been filed by the petitioner.
- 4. At the very outset, learned Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner wants to file <u>revision</u> against the appellate order. The order passed by the disciplinary authority has merged into the appellate authority's order.
- 5. Rule 23 of the U.P. Police Officers of the Subordinate Ranks (Punishment and Appeal) Rules, 1991 reads as below:
  - **23. Revision-** An officer whose appeal has rejected by any authority subordinate to the Government is entitled to submit an application for revision to the authority next in rank above by which his appeal has been rejected within the period of three months from the date rejection of appeal. On such an application the powers of revision may be exercised only when, in consequent of flagrant irregularity, there appears to have been material injustice or miscarriage of justice:

Provided that the revising authority may on its own motion call for and examine the records of any order passed in appeal against which no revision has been preferred under this rule for the purpose of satisfying itself as to the legality or propriety of such order or as to the regularity of such procedure and pass such order with respect thereto as it may think fit:

Provided further that no order the first proviso shall be made except after giving the person effected a reasonable opportunity of being heard in the matter.

- (2) The procedure prescribed for appeal applies also to applications for revision. An application for revision of an order rejecting an appeal shall be accompanied by a copy of the original order as well as the order of appellate authority.
- 6. Learned Counsel for the petitioner drew attention of this Tribunal towards sub-section (2) of Section 86 of the Uttarakhand Police Act, 2007, to submit that "Rules or Regulations made under the provisions of the said Act (*i.e. The Indian Police Act, 1861*) shall, insofar as it is not inconsistent with the provisions of this Act be deemed to have been made under the corresponding provisions of the Act and shall continue to be in force unless and until superseded by anything done or action taken under this Act."
- 7. Sri V.P. Devrani, learned A.P.O., submitted that the impugned order has been passed under Rule 23(2) of the Uttarakhand Police Act,

3

2007. Show cause notice has been given under Sub-Rule (iv) of Rule

4(1)(b) of the Uttarakhand [U.P. Police Officers of Subordinate Ranks

(Punishment & Appeal) Rules, 1991] Adaptation and Modification order,

2002, and appeal has also been decided under the said Act. Under this

Act, there is no provision for filing the revision.

8. In reply, learned Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the U.P.

Police Officers of the Subordinate Ranks (Punishment and Appeal)

Rules, 1991, have been framed under the Indian Police Act, 1861. No

rules or regulations have, so far been framed under the Uttarakhand

Police Act, 2007, and therefore, the remedy of revision is available to the

petitioner inasmuch as it is not inconsistent with the provisions of

Uttarakhand Police Act, 2007 and therefore, revision will lie.

9. Without prejudice to rival contentions, the claim petition is

disposed of by giving liberty to the petitioner to submit an application for

revision to the Authority next in rank above by which his appeal has been

rejected, within four weeks from today. The delay in filing such

application is condoned in the interest of justice [Section 5 of the

Limitation Act, 1963 applies to the applications also].

10. If such revision is filed by the petitioner, within the aforesaid

period, the competent authority shall decide the same without

unreasonable delay, in accordance with law. No order as to costs.

JUSTICE U.C. DHYANI CHAIRMAN

DATED: FEBRUARY 15, 2023

**DEHRADUN** 

VM