## BEFORE THE UTTARAKHAND PUBLIC SERVICES TRIBUNAL BENCH AT NAINITAL

Present: Hon'ble Mr. Justice U.C.Dhyani
----- Chairman
Hon'ble Mr. Rajeev Gupta
-----Vice Chairman (A)

## CLAIM PETITION NO. 34/NB/DB/2022

Girish Chandra Tiwari, aged 55 years, S/o Sri Khyali Ram Tiwari, Presently posted as Revenue Sub-Inspector at Kaladungi, Haldwani, District Nainital.

.....Petitioner

vs.

- Board of Revenue, Uttarakhand at Dehradun through its Secretary, Ring Road, Ladpur, Dehradun.
- 2. Commissioner, Kumaon Region, Nainital, District-Nainital.
- 3. District Magistrate, Nainital, District-Nainital.
- 4. Sri Suresh Chandra Budhlakoti, S/o Sri Padma Datt Budhlakoti, Presently posted as Revenue Inspector at Tehsil Haldwani, District-Nainital.

.....Respondents

Present: Sri Ganesh Kandpal, Advocate, for the Petitioner.
Sri Kishor Kumar, A.P.O., for the State Respondent

## **JUDGMENT**

**DATED: MAY 25, 2022** 

## Justice U.C.Dhyani (Oral)

By means of present claim petition, the petitioner seeks following reliefs:

- (i) To direct the respondents to place the petitioner above to the private respondent Sri Suresh Chandra Budhlakoti in the seniority list of Revenue Inspector contained in Annexure no.1 to this claim petition.
- (ii) To direct the respondents to promote the petitioner on the post of Naib Tehsildar from the date junior to the petitioner namely Sri Suresh Chandra Budhlakoti has been promoted and also grant all consequential benefits to the petitioner.

- (iii) To pass any other suitable order, which this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper on the basis of the facts and circumstances of the case.
- (iv) Award the cost of the petition to the petitioner.
- 2. The petitioner had earlier filed a claim petition No. 51/NB/DB/2021, Girish Chandra Tiwari vs. State of Uttarakhand & others before this Tribunal. The Tribunal *vide* order dated 19.07.2021, decided the said claim petition. The order of the Tribunal is reproduced herein below for convenience:

"By means of present claim petition, the petitioner seeks following reliefs:

- "(i) To direct the respondent No. 2 to decide the representation of the petitioner dated 22.03.2021 as per the direction issued by the respondent No. 1 vide its letter dated 31.03.2021 contained in Annexure No. 1 & 2 to the claim petition.
- (ii) To pass any other suitable order, which this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper on the basis of the facts and circumstances of the case.
- (iii) Award the cost of the petition to the petitioner.
- 2. Briefly put, the facts of the claim petition are as follows:

In the year 1989, an advertisement was issued for appointment on the post of Revenue Sub-Inspector (Lekhpal). Pursuant to the said advertisement, the petitioner as well as respondent No. 4 applied for the same and appeared in the examination conducted by the respondent. After qualifying the entrance examination, the petitioner and respondent No. 4 as well as other qualified candidates were sent to the Patwari Training.

After completing the said Training, petitioner joined the service as Revenue Sub Inspector (Lekhpal). Petitioner obtained more marks than respondent No.4, therefore, petitioner is senior to respondent No. 4 in the seniority list of Revenue Sub-Inspector (Lekhpal). Whereas, the petitioner was confirmed on the said post on 01.09.1992, respondent No. 4 was confirmed on 31.12.1992.

Thereafter, the respondent department issued seniority list of Revenue Sub-Inspectors (Lekhpals) from time to time and the petitioner was shown senior to the respondent No. 4.

On 31.07.2013, District Magistrate, Nainital issued a final seniority list of Revenue Sub Inspector (Lekhpal) of District Nainital. In the said seniority list, name of petitioner was shown at Sl. No. 23 and name of respondent no. 4 was shown at Sl. No. 24. Thereafter, petitioner and private respondent No. 4 were sent for three months training for 12<sup>th</sup> batch of Revenue Inspector in Revenue Police and Land Record Survey Training Institute, Almora. After completing the said training, the petitioner joined as Revenue Sub Inspector (Lekhpal) in their respective areas allotted to them.

Post of Revenue Inspector was vacant in Haldwani Tehsil and the petitioner was fully eligible to be promoted on the post of Revenue Inspector. Petitioner moved a representation dated 14.06.2019 before the Commissioner, Kumaon Region in this regard. Though, the petitioner is senior to respondent No. 4 as per the Revenue Sub Inspector (Lekhpal) Rules, 2015 and the Uttarakhand Government Servants Seniority Rules, 2002, but instead of promoting petitioner, ignoring the entire rules, the respondent promoted private respondent No. 4 *vide* order dated 08.07.2019. Thereafter, petitioner was also promoted *vide* order dated 13.07.2020 on the post of Revenue Inspector.

It is also submitted on behalf of the petitioner that despite the fact that petitioner is senior to respondent No. 4 since the very first day of appointment, junior was promoted arbitrarily before promoting the petitioner.

Aggrieved by the arbitrary action of the respondent, the petitioner moved a representation before the Commissioner, Revenue Board, Uttarakhand on 22.03.2021. Thereafter, the Commissioner, Revenue Board, Uttarakhand wrote a letter to the Commissioner Kumaon Region, Nainital on 31.03.2021 stating therein that he had received the representation of the petitioner and the petitioner had obtained 453 marks in the Revenue Sub Inspector (Lekhpal) Training examination and Respondent No. 4 had obtained 444 marks. Despite that, respondent No. 4 has been promoted to the post of Revenue Inspector on 08.02.2019 while the petitioner has been promoted later, on 13.07.2020. Till date no action has been taken on such representation of the petitioner.

Being aggrieved, the petitioner filed a writ petition No. 769(S/S) of 2021 before Hon'ble High Court. *Vide* order dated 06.07.2021, the Hon'ble High Court was of the view that the matter should be adjudicated by this Tribunal, for the purpose of redressal of his grievance. Hence, this claim petition has been filed by the petitioner.

- 3. After arguing the claim petition at some length, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner confined his prayer only to the extent that petitioner's representation dated 22.03.2021 (Annexure: A1), may kindly be directed to be decided by the Respondent No. 2 as per endorsement of Respondent No. 1 *vide* its letter dated 31.03.2021 (Annexure: A2) (to Respondent No.2), as per law, at an early date, to which learned A.P.O. has no objection.
- 4. Considering the facts of the case and oral submissions made in this behalf, this Tribunal is of the view that innocuous prayer made by learned Counsel for the petitioner is worth accepting.
- 5. Claim petition is, accordingly, disposed of, at the admission stage, by directing Respondent No.2, to decide pending representation dated 22.03.2021 (Annexure: A1) of the petitioner, by a reasoned and speaking order, in accordance with law, at an earliest possible, but not later than four weeks of presentation of certified copy of this order along with copy of Annexure: A1.

- 6. Needless to say that the decision so taken shall be communicated to the petitioner soon thereafter.
- 7. It is made clear that we have not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case. No order as to costs.
- 8. Let certified copies of this judgment be supplied free of cost to the petitioner and Ld. A.P.O., by 22.07.2021 positively"
- 3. In compliance of the aforesaid order of the Tribunal, the Uttarakhand Board of Revenue on 11.08.2021 passed an order whereby the Commissioner, Kumaon Region was directed to take a decision in the matter of the petitioner on his level. Pursuant to the order of the Commissioner/Secretary, Uttarakhand Board of Revenue, the Commissioner Kumaon Region, Nainital passed an order on 27.09.2021 in favour of the petitioner and the District Magistrate, Nainital was directed to amend the seniority list of the Revenue Sub-Inspector, treating the date of substantive appointment of the petitioner on the post of 21.08.1990.
- 4. In compliance of the order dated 19.07.2021 of this Tribunal and order dated 27.09.2021 passed by the Commissioner, Kumaon Region, the District Magistrate, Nainital passed an order dated 11.10.2021 to amend the final seniority list of the Revenue Sub-Inspector, in which the petitioner was now shown senior to the private respondent no. 4. The private respondent no. 4, who is junior to the petitioner since the date of entry in service has been granted promotion to the post of Naib Tehsildar, but the respondent, admitting the fact that petitioner is senior to the private respondent, did not promote him to such post.
- 5. Aggrieved by the arbitrary action of the respondent, the petitioner moved a representation dated 14.10.2021 before the Secretary/ Commissioner, Uttarakhand Board of Revenue, Dehradun, stating therein that the seniority list of the petitioner has been amended and the petitioner has been declared senior to the private respondent. The private respondent has been granted promotion to the post of Naib Tehsildar and the petitioner is still working as Revenue Inspector. The petitioner is also entitled for promotion to the post of Naib Tehsildar from the date of

promotion of his junior. Till date, no action has been taken on such

representation of the petitioner.

6. After arguing the claim petition at some length, Ld. Counsel for

the petitioner confined his prayer only to the extent that petitioner's

representation dated 14.10.2021 (Annexure no. 16), may kindly be

directed to be decided by the Respondent No. 1 by a reasoned and

speaking order, as per law, at an early date, to which learned A.P.O. has no

objection.

7. The petitioner reserves his right to press other prayers made by

him in the claim petition, in accordance with law.

8. Considering the facts of the case and oral submissions made in

this behalf, this Tribunal is of the view that innocuous prayer made by

learned Counsel for the petitioner is worth accepting.

9. Claim petition is, accordingly, disposed of, at the admission stage,

by directing Respondent No.1, to decide pending representation dated

14.10.2021 (Annexure No. 16) of the petitioner, by a reasoned and

speaking order, in accordance with law, at an earliest possible and without

unreasonable delay, on presentation of certified copy of this order along

with copy of Annexure no. 16.

10. Petitioner is directed to supply copy of this order along with copy

of claim petition to the respondent No. 1 within a week.

11. Needless to say that the decision so taken shall be

communicated to the petitioner soon thereafter.

12. It is made clear that we have not expressed any opinion on the

merits of the case. No order as to costs.

(RAJEEV GUPTA)

VICE CHAIRMAN (A)

(JUSTICE U.C.DHYANI)
CHAIRMAN

DATE: MAY 25, 2021

DEHRADUN

KNP