

**BEFORE THE UTTARAKHAND PUBLIC SERVICES TRIBUNAL  
AT DEHRADUN**

**CLAIM PETITION NO. 80/SB/2022**

Veer Prakash Chauhan, s/o Sri Arjun Singh Chauhan, aged about 55 years, Assistant Teacher (UPS), presently employed in Government Junior High School, Kumalda, r/o Village & P.O. Balalwala, Dehradun.

.....Petitioner

**vs.**

1. The State of Uttarakhand through Secretary, Education, Govt. of Uttarakhand, Subhash Road, Dehradun.
2. The Director (Elementary Education) Directorate of Elementary Education, Nanoor Kheda, Dehradun.
3. The Additional Director (Elementary Education) Directorate of Elementary Education, Nanoor Kheda, Dehradun.
4. The District Education Officer, District- Tehri Garhwal, New Tehri.
5. The Deputy Education Officer, Block Jaunpur at Thathyur, District- Tehri Garhwal

.....Respondents

Present: Sri Uttam Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.  
Sri V.P.Devrani, A.P.O., for Respondent No.1.

**JUDGMENT**

**DATED: JULY 11, 2022**

**Justice U.C.Dhyani (Oral)**

By means of present claim petition, petitioner seeks the following reliefs:

“(i) Direct the respondents to decide the representation dated 25.03.2022 for payment of salary from 01.07.2015 to 31.10.2016 in terms of the directions issued by the higher authorities themselves vide their letters dated 24.09.2016, 27.10.2016, 20.03.2017, 31.01.2018, 08.03.2019, 11.09.2019, 15.10.2019 and 27.01.2021.

Representation dated 25.03.2022 contained in Annexure No. A-1 for the claim petition.

(ii) Direct the respondents to decide the representation of the petitioner with a reasoned and speaking order on the averments made in para-4 of the claim petition.

(iii) Direct the respondent to pay the interest 12% on the delayed payment of salary.

(iv) To pass any other suitable order, which this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper on the basis of the facts and circumstances of the case.

(v) Award the cost of the petition to the petitioner.”

*[Emphasis supplied]*

2. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the Respondent No.5 be directed to decide the pending representation of the petitioner, at an earliest possible.

3. It is the submission of Ld. Counsel for the petitioner that Respondents No. 2, 3 and 4 have already instructed Respondent No.5 to release the salary for the period, which has been prayed for by the petitioner in the present claim petition. Ld. A.P.O. has no objection, if a direction is given to Respondent No.5 to decide the representation of the petitioner, in accordance with law.

4. The claim petition is, accordingly, disposed of at the admission stage by directing Respondent No.5 to decide the pending representation dated 25.03.2022 (Annexure: A-1) of the petitioner, at an earliest possible and without unreasonable delay, in terms of the direction issued by the higher authorities, in accordance with law, on presentation of certified copy of this order along with copy of the representation. No order as to costs.

5. It is made clear that the Tribunal has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

**(JUSTICE U.C.DHYANI)**  
CHAIRMAN

*DATE: JULY 11, 2022*  
*DEHRADUN*